



q -polynomials

Matthias Beck

San Francisco State University

matthbeck.github.io



Esme Bajo

Art of Problem Solving

Ben Braun

University of Kentucky

Alvaro Cornejo

University of Kentucky

Thomas Kunze

UC Irvine

Andrés Vindas-Meléndez


Harvey Mudd College

Ehrhart Polynomials

Lattice polytope $\mathcal{P} \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ — convex hull of finitely points in \mathbb{Z}^d

For $t \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ let $\text{ehr}_{\mathcal{P}}(t) := \#(t\mathcal{P} \cap \mathbb{Z}^d)$

Theorem (Ehrhart 1962, Macdonald 1971) $\text{ehr}_{\mathcal{P}}(t)$ is a polynomial in t .
Furthermore, $\text{ehr}_{\mathcal{P}}(-t) = (-1)^{\dim \mathcal{P}} \#(t\mathcal{P}^\circ \cap \mathbb{Z}^d)$.



Example $\Delta = \text{conv}\{(0,0), (1,0), (0,1)\}$


$$\text{ehr}_{\Delta}(t) = \frac{1}{2}t^2 + \frac{3}{2}t + 1$$

Ehrhart Polynomials

Lattice polytope $\mathcal{P} \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ — convex hull of finitely points in \mathbb{Z}^d

For $t \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ let $\text{ehr}_{\mathcal{P}}(t) := \#(t\mathcal{P} \cap \mathbb{Z}^d)$

Theorem (Ehrhart 1962, Macdonald 1971) $\text{ehr}_{\mathcal{P}}(t)$ is a polynomial in t .
Furthermore, $\text{ehr}_{\mathcal{P}}(-t) = (-1)^{\dim \mathcal{P}} \#(t\mathcal{P}^\circ \cap \mathbb{Z}^d)$.



Example $\Delta = \text{conv}\{(0,0), (1,0), (0,1)\}$


$$\text{ehr}_{\Delta}(t) = \frac{1}{2}t^2 + \frac{3}{2}t + 1$$

Philosophy We do not need limits for

$$\text{vol}(\mathcal{P}) = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t^d} \text{ehr}_{\mathcal{P}}(t)$$

Some Motivation

- ▶ Linear systems are **everywhere**, and so polyhedra are everywhere.
- ▶ In applications, the **volume** of the polytope represented by a linear system measures some fundamental data of this system (“average”).
- ▶ Many **discrete problems** in various areas are linear problems, thus they ask for the discrete volume of a polytope in disguise.
- ▶ Much discrete geometry can be modeled using **polynomials** and, conversely, many combinatorial polynomials can be modeled geometrically.
- ▶ Volume computation is **hard**.
- ▶ Also, polytopes are **cool**.



♡ Polynomials

► Computation

Class of Ehrhart polynomials \longrightarrow two main research problems:

- Classification — which polynomials are Ehrhart polynomials?
(open in dimension 3)
- Detection — does a given polynomial determine the polytope?
(fails somewhat spectacularly)

q -Ehrhart Polynomials

Lattice polytope $\mathcal{P} \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ — convex hull of finitely points in \mathbb{Z}^d

$$\text{ehr}_{\mathcal{P}}(t) := \#(t\mathcal{P} \cap \mathbb{Z}^d)$$

Theorem (Ehrhart 1962, Macdonald 1971) $\text{ehr}_{\mathcal{P}}(t)$ is a polynomial in t . Furthermore, $\text{ehr}_{\mathcal{P}}(-t) = (-1)^{\dim \mathcal{P}} \#(t\mathcal{P}^\circ \cap \mathbb{Z}^d)$.

Now fix a linear form λ that is **generic** ($\lambda(\mathbf{v}) \neq \lambda(\mathbf{w})$ for adjacent vertices \mathbf{v} and \mathbf{w} of \mathcal{P}) and **positive** ($\lambda(\mathbf{v}) \geq 0$ for any vertex \mathbf{v}), and let

$$\text{ehr}_{\mathcal{P}}^{\lambda}(q, t) := \sum_{\mathbf{m} \in t\mathcal{P} \cap \mathbb{Z}^d} q^{\lambda(\mathbf{m})}$$


Theorem (Chapoton 2015) If \mathcal{P} is a lattice polytope and λ is a generic and positive integral form, there exists a polynomial $\text{cha}_{\mathcal{P}}^{\lambda}(q, x) \in \mathbb{Z}(q)[x]$ such that $\text{ehr}_{\mathcal{P}}^{\lambda}(q, t) = \text{cha}_{\mathcal{P}}^{\lambda}(q, [t]_q)$, where $[t]_q := 1 + q + \cdots + q^{t-1}$.

q -Ehrhart Polynomials

Fix a linear form λ that is **generic** ($\lambda(\mathbf{v}) \neq \lambda(\mathbf{w})$ for adjacent vertices \mathbf{v} and \mathbf{w} of \mathcal{P}) and **positive** ($\lambda(\mathbf{v}) \geq 0$ for any vertex \mathbf{v}), and let

$$\text{ehr}_{\mathcal{P}}^{\lambda}(q, t) := \sum_{\mathbf{m} \in t\mathcal{P} \cap \mathbb{Z}^d} q^{\lambda(\mathbf{m})}$$

Theorem (Chapoton 2015) If \mathcal{P} is a lattice polytope and λ is a generic and positive integral form, there exists a polynomial $\text{cha}_{\mathcal{P}}^{\lambda}(q, x) \in \mathbb{Z}(q)[x]$ such that $\text{ehr}_{\mathcal{P}}^{\lambda}(q, t) = \text{cha}_{\mathcal{P}}^{\lambda}(q, [t]_q)$, where $[t]_q := 1 + q + \cdots + q^{t-1}$.



Example $\Delta = \text{conv}\{(0, 0), (1, 0), (0, 1)\}$ and $\lambda = (1, 2)$

$$\text{cha}_{\Delta}^{\lambda}(q, x) = \frac{q^3}{q+1} x^2 + \frac{q(2q+1)}{q+1} x + 1$$

Chapoton Polynomials

Fix a linear form λ that is **generic** ($\lambda(\mathbf{v}) \neq \lambda(\mathbf{w})$ for adjacent vertices \mathbf{v} and \mathbf{w} of \mathcal{P}) and **positive** ($\lambda(\mathbf{v}) \geq 0$ for any vertex \mathbf{v}), and let

$$\text{ehr}_{\mathcal{P}}^{\lambda}(q, t) := \sum_{\mathbf{m} \in t\mathcal{P} \cap \mathbb{Z}^d} q^{\lambda(\mathbf{m})}$$

Theorem (Chapoton 2015) If \mathcal{P} is a lattice polytope and λ is a generic and positive integral form, there exists a polynomial $\text{cha}_{\mathcal{P}}^{\lambda}(q, x) \in \mathbb{Z}(q)[x]$ such that $\text{ehr}_{\mathcal{P}}^{\lambda}(q, t) = \text{cha}_{\mathcal{P}}^{\lambda}(q, [t]_q)$, where $[t]_q := 1 + q + \cdots + q^{t-1}$.

The degree of $\text{cha}_{\mathcal{P}}^{\lambda}(q, x)$ is $m := \max\{\lambda(\mathbf{v}) : \mathbf{v} \text{ vertex of } \mathcal{P}\}$ and all the poles of the coefficients of $\text{cha}_{\mathcal{P}}^{\lambda}(q, x)$ are roots of unity of order $\leq m$.

Furthermore, $(-1)^{\dim \mathcal{P}} \text{cha}_{\mathcal{P}}^{\lambda}\left(\frac{1}{q}, -qx\right) = \text{cha}_{\mathcal{P}^{\circ}}^{\lambda}(q, x)$.

Chapoton Polynomials

Fix a linear form λ that is **generic** ($\lambda(\mathbf{v}) \neq \lambda(\mathbf{w})$ for adjacent vertices \mathbf{v} and \mathbf{w} of \mathcal{P}) and **positive** ($\lambda(\mathbf{v}) \geq 0$ for any vertex \mathbf{v}), and let

$$\text{ehr}_{\mathcal{P}}^{\lambda}(q, t) := \sum_{\mathbf{m} \in t\mathcal{P} \cap \mathbb{Z}^d} q^{\lambda(\mathbf{m})}$$

Theorem (Chapoton 2015) If \mathcal{P} is a lattice polytope and λ is a generic and positive integral form, there exists a polynomial $\text{cha}_{\mathcal{P}}^{\lambda}(q, x) \in \mathbb{Z}(q)[x]$ such that $\text{ehr}_{\mathcal{P}}^{\lambda}(q, t) = \text{cha}_{\mathcal{P}}^{\lambda}(q, [t]_q)$, where $[t]_q := 1 + q + \cdots + q^{t-1}$.

The degree of $\text{cha}_{\mathcal{P}}^{\lambda}(q, x)$ is $m := \max\{\lambda(\mathbf{v}) : \mathbf{v} \text{ vertex of } \mathcal{P}\}$ and all the poles of the coefficients of $\text{cha}_{\mathcal{P}}^{\lambda}(q, x)$ are roots of unity of order $\leq m$.

Furthermore, $(-1)^{\dim \mathcal{P}} \text{cha}_{\mathcal{P}}^{\lambda}\left(\frac{1}{q}, -qx\right) = \text{cha}_{\mathcal{P}^{\circ}}^{\lambda}(q, x)$.

Theorem (Robins 2023, Sanyal @ FPSAC 2025) The set of all $\text{cha}_{\mathcal{P}}^{\lambda}(q, x)$, where λ ranges over all generic and positive integral forms, determines \mathcal{P} .

Some More Motivation

- $\text{ehr}_{\mathcal{P}}(t) := \#(t\mathcal{P} \cap \mathbb{Z}^d)$ has polynomial structure, but sometimes we need to understand the **integer point transform**

$$\sigma_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathbf{z}) := \sum_{\mathbf{m} \in \mathcal{P} \cap \mathbb{Z}^d} z_1^{m_1} z_2^{m_2} \cdots z_d^{m_d}$$

- For fixed λ ,

$$\text{ehr}_{\mathcal{P}}^{\lambda}(q, t) = \sum_{\mathbf{m} \in t\mathcal{P} \cap \mathbb{Z}^d} q^{\lambda(\mathbf{m})} = \sigma_{t\mathcal{P}}(q^{\lambda_1}, q^{\lambda_2}, \dots, q^{\lambda_d})$$

still has polynomial structure.

- Chapoton polynomials contain interesting number theory, connection to partition functions, . . .

Familiar Faces

Fix a linear form λ that is **generic** ($\lambda(\mathbf{v}) \neq \lambda(\mathbf{w})$ for adjacent vertices \mathbf{v} and \mathbf{w} of \mathcal{P}) and **positive** ($\lambda(\mathbf{v}) \geq 0$ for any vertex \mathbf{v}), and let

$$\text{ehr}_{\mathcal{P}}^{\lambda}(q, t) := \sum_{\mathbf{m} \in t\mathcal{P} \cap \mathbb{Z}^d} q^{\lambda(\mathbf{m})}$$

► $\square = [0, 1]^d$ and $\lambda = \mathbf{1} := (1, 1, \dots, 1)$

$$\text{ehr}_{\square}^{\mathbf{1}}(q, t) = [t + 1]_q^d \quad \longrightarrow \quad \text{cha}_{\square}^{\mathbf{1}}(q, x) = (1 + qx)^d$$

Carlitz identity (really due to MacMahon)

$$\sum_{t \geq 0} [t + 1]_q^n x^t = \frac{\sum_{\pi \in S_n} x^{\text{des}(\pi)} q^{\text{maj}(\pi)}}{\prod_{j=0}^{n-1} (1 - xq^j)}$$

Familiar Faces

Fix a linear form λ that is **generic** ($\lambda(\mathbf{v}) \neq \lambda(\mathbf{w})$ for adjacent vertices \mathbf{v} and \mathbf{w} of \mathcal{P}) and **positive** ($\lambda(\mathbf{v}) \geq 0$ for any vertex \mathbf{v}), and let

$$\text{ehr}_{\mathcal{P}}^{\lambda}(q, t) := \sum_{\mathbf{m} \in t\mathcal{P} \cap \mathbb{Z}^d} q^{\lambda(\mathbf{m})}$$

► $\Delta = \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^d : x_1 + x_2 + \cdots + x_d = 1\}$

$$\text{ehr}_{\Delta}^{\lambda}(q, t) = \sum_{\mathbf{m} \in t\Delta \cap \mathbb{Z}^d} q^{\lambda_1 m_1 + \lambda_2 m_2 + \cdots + \lambda_d m_d}$$

is the generating function for partitions with exactly t parts in the set $\{\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_d\}$

$$\text{cha}_{\Delta}^{\lambda}(q, x) = \sum_{j=1}^d \frac{1}{\prod_{k \neq j} (1 - q^{\lambda_k - \lambda_j})} ((q-1)x + 1)^{\lambda_j}$$

Familiar Faces

Fix a linear form λ that is **generic** ($\lambda(\mathbf{v}) \neq \lambda(\mathbf{w})$ for adjacent vertices \mathbf{v} and \mathbf{w} of \mathcal{P}) and **positive** ($\lambda(\mathbf{v}) \geq 0$ for any vertex \mathbf{v}), and let

$$\text{ehr}_{\mathcal{P}}^{\lambda}(q, t) := \sum_{\mathbf{m} \in t\mathcal{P} \cap \mathbb{Z}^d} q^{\lambda(\mathbf{m})}$$

► $\Delta = \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d : 0 \leq x_1 \leq x_2 \leq \cdots \leq x_d \leq 1\}$ and $\lambda = \mathbf{1}$

$$\text{ehr}_{\Delta}^{\mathbf{1}}(q, t) = \sum_{\mathbf{m} \in t\Delta \cap \mathbb{Z}^d} q^{m_1+m_2+\cdots+m_d} = \left[\begin{matrix} t+d \\ d \end{matrix} \right]_q$$

is the generating function for partitions with $\leq d$ parts, each of which $\leq t$

$$\text{cha}_{\Delta}^{\mathbf{1}}(q, x) = \sum_{j=0}^d \frac{1}{\prod_{k \neq j} (1 - q^{k-j})} ((q-1)x + 1)^j$$

Familiar Faces

Fix a linear form λ that is **generic** ($\lambda(\mathbf{v}) \neq \lambda(\mathbf{w})$ for adjacent vertices \mathbf{v} and \mathbf{w} of \mathcal{P}) and **positive** ($\lambda(\mathbf{v}) \geq 0$ for any vertex \mathbf{v}), and let

$$\text{ehr}_{\mathcal{P}}^{\lambda}(q, t) := \sum_{\mathbf{m} \in t\mathcal{P} \cap \mathbb{Z}^d} q^{\lambda(\mathbf{m})}$$

► \mathcal{P} — order polytope of $[m] \times [n]$

$$\text{MacMahon (1909)} \quad \text{cha}_{\mathcal{P}}^1(q, x) = \prod_{i=1}^m \prod_{j=1}^n \frac{[i+j-1]_q + x q^{i+j-1}}{[i+j-1]_q}$$

Familiar Faces

► Lecture hall simplex $\Delta_n := \left\{ \mathbf{x} \in [0, 1]^n : x_1 \leq \frac{x_2}{2} \leq \frac{x_3}{3} \leq \cdots \leq \frac{x_n}{n} \right\}$

Corteel–Lee–Savage (FPSAC 2005) For any $j \geq 0$ and $1 \leq i \leq n$

$$\text{ehr}_{\Delta_n}^1(q, jn + i) = \text{ehr}_{\Delta_n}^1(q, jn + i - 1) + q^{jn+i} \text{ehr}_{\Delta_{n-1}}^1(q, j(n-1) + i - 1)$$

Familiar Faces

► Lecture hall simplex $\Delta_n := \left\{ \mathbf{x} \in [0, 1]^n : x_1 \leq \frac{x_2}{2} \leq \frac{x_3}{3} \leq \dots \leq \frac{x_n}{n} \right\}$

Corteel–Lee–Savage (FPSAC 2005) For any $j \geq 0$ and $1 \leq i \leq n$

$$\text{ehr}_{\Delta_n}^1(q, jn + i) = \text{ehr}_{\Delta_n}^1(q, jn + i - 1) + q^{jn+i} \text{ehr}_{\Delta_{n-1}}^1(q, j(n-1) + i - 1)$$

Chapoton polynomials, anyone?

$$\text{cha}_{1,0}(x) := 1 + qx \quad \text{and} \quad \text{cha}_{1,1}(x) := 1 + q + q^2x$$

and for $j \geq 0$ and $1 \leq i \leq n$


$$\text{cha}_{n,i}(x) = \text{cha}_{n,i-1}(x) + q^i ((q-1)x + 1)^n \text{cha}_{n-1,i-1}(x)$$

Brion Magic

Integer point transform $\sigma_S(\mathbf{z}) := \sum_{\mathbf{m} \in S \cap \mathbb{Z}^d} z_1^{m_1} z_2^{m_2} \cdots z_d^{m_d}$

When S is a rational polyhedron, $\sigma_S(\mathbf{z})$ evaluates to a rational function.

Given a vertex \mathbf{v} of P , let $\mathcal{K}_{\mathbf{v}} := \sum_{\mathbf{w} \text{ adjacent to } \mathbf{v}} \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}(\mathbf{w} - \mathbf{v})$



Theorem (Brion 1988) If \mathcal{P} is a rational polytope, then

$$\sigma_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathbf{z}) = \sum_{\mathbf{v} \text{ vertex of } \mathcal{P}} \sigma_{\mathbf{v} + \mathcal{K}_{\mathbf{v}}}(\mathbf{z}).$$

Brion \longrightarrow Chapoton

Integer point transform $\sigma_S(\mathbf{z}) := \sum_{\mathbf{m} \in S \cap \mathbb{Z}^d} z_1^{m_1} z_2^{m_2} \cdots z_d^{m_d}$

Given a vertex \mathbf{v} of P , let $\mathcal{K}_{\mathbf{v}} := \sum_{\mathbf{w} \text{ adjacent to } \mathbf{v}} \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}(\mathbf{w} - \mathbf{v})$

Theorem (Brion 1988) $\sigma_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathbf{z}) = \sum_{\mathbf{v} \text{ vertex of } \mathcal{P}} \sigma_{\mathbf{v} + \mathcal{K}_{\mathbf{v}}}(\mathbf{z}) .$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ehr}_{\mathcal{P}}^{\lambda}(q, t) &= \sum_{\mathbf{m} \in t\mathcal{P} \cap \mathbb{Z}^d} q^{\lambda(\mathbf{m})} = \sigma_{t\mathcal{P}}(q^{\lambda_1}, q^{\lambda_2}, \dots, q^{\lambda_d}) \\ &= \sum_{\mathbf{v} \text{ vertex of } \mathcal{P}} \sigma_{t\mathbf{v} + \mathcal{K}_{\mathbf{v}}}(q^{\lambda_1}, q^{\lambda_2}, \dots, q^{\lambda_d}) \\ &= \sum_{\mathbf{v} \text{ vertex of } \mathcal{P}} q^{t\lambda(\mathbf{v})} \sigma_{\mathcal{K}_{\mathbf{v}}}(q^{\lambda_1}, q^{\lambda_2}, \dots, q^{\lambda_d}) \end{aligned}$$

Chapoton Polynomials Revisited

Theorem (Chapoton 2015) If \mathcal{P} is a lattice polytope and λ is a generic and positive integral form, there exists a polynomial $\text{cha}_{\mathcal{P}}^{\lambda}(q, x) \in \mathbb{Z}(q)[x]$ such that $\text{ehr}_{\mathcal{P}}^{\lambda}(q, t) = \text{cha}_{\mathcal{P}}^{\lambda}(q, [t]_q)$, where $[t]_q := 1 + q + \dots + q^{t-1}$.

$$\text{ehr}_{\mathcal{P}}^{\lambda}(q, t) = \sum_{\mathbf{v} \text{ vertex of } \mathcal{P}} q^{t\lambda(\mathbf{v})} \sigma_{\mathcal{K}_{\mathbf{v}}} (q^{\lambda_1}, q^{\lambda_2}, \dots, q^{\lambda_d})$$

Now use $q^{kt} = ((q-1)[t]_q + 1)^k \dots$

Theorem (MB–Kunze 2025+) If \mathcal{P} is a lattice polytope and λ is a generic and positive integral form,

$$\text{cha}_{\mathcal{P}}^{\lambda}(q, x) = \sum_{\mathbf{v} \text{ vertex of } \mathcal{P}} \rho_{\mathbf{v}}^{\lambda}(q) ((q-1)x + 1)^{\lambda(\mathbf{v})}$$

where $\rho_{\mathbf{v}}^{\lambda}(q) := \sigma_{\mathcal{K}_{\mathbf{v}}} (q^{\lambda_1}, q^{\lambda_2}, \dots, q^{\lambda_d})$

Chapoton Polynomials Revisited

$$\text{ehr}_{\mathcal{P}}^{\lambda}(q, t) := \sum_{\mathbf{m} \in t\mathcal{P} \cap \mathbb{Z}^d} q^{\lambda(\mathbf{m})} = \text{cha}_{\mathcal{P}}^{\lambda}(q, [t]_q) \quad \mathcal{K}_{\mathbf{v}} := \sum_{\mathbf{w} \text{ adjacent to } \mathbf{v}} \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}(\mathbf{w} - \mathbf{v})$$

Theorem (MB–Kunze 2025+) If \mathcal{P} is a lattice polytope and λ is a generic and positive integral form,

$$\text{cha}_{\mathcal{P}}^{\lambda}(q, x) = \sum_{\mathbf{v} \text{ vertex of } \mathcal{P}} \rho_{\mathbf{v}}^{\lambda}(q) ((q - 1)x + 1)^{\lambda(\mathbf{v})}$$

where $\rho_{\mathbf{v}}^{\lambda}(q) := \sigma_{\mathcal{K}_{\mathbf{v}}} (q^{\lambda_1}, q^{\lambda_2}, \dots, q^{\lambda_d})$.

Corollary Each pole of $\rho_{\mathbf{v}}^{\lambda}(q)$ is an n th root of unity where $n = |\lambda(g(\mathbf{w} - \mathbf{v}))|$ for some adjacent vertex \mathbf{w} , where $g(\mathbf{w} - \mathbf{v})$ is primitive.

Corollary The leading coefficient of $\text{cha}_{\mathcal{P}}^{\lambda}(q, x)$ is $(q - 1)^{\lambda(\mathbf{v})} \rho_{\mathbf{v}}^{\lambda}(q)$ where \mathbf{v} is the vertex of \mathcal{P} that maximizes $\lambda(\mathbf{v})$.

Chapoton Polynomials Revisited

$$\mathrm{ehr}_{\mathcal{P}}^{\lambda}(q, t) := \sum_{\mathbf{m} \in t\mathcal{P} \cap \mathbb{Z}^d} q^{\lambda(\mathbf{m})} = \mathrm{cha}_{\mathcal{P}}^{\lambda}(q, [t]_q) \quad \mathcal{K}_{\mathbf{v}} := \sum_{\mathbf{w} \text{ adjacent to } \mathbf{v}} \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}(\mathbf{w} - \mathbf{v})$$

Theorem (MB–Kunze 2025+) If \mathcal{P} is a lattice polytope and λ is a generic and positive integral form,

$$\mathrm{cha}_{\mathcal{P}}^{\lambda}(q, x) = \sum_{\mathbf{v} \text{ vertex of } \mathcal{P}} \rho_{\mathbf{v}}^{\lambda}(q) ((q-1)x + 1)^{\lambda(\mathbf{v})}$$

where $\rho_{\mathbf{v}}^{\lambda}(q) := \sigma_{\mathcal{K}_{\mathbf{v}}} (q^{\lambda_1}, q^{\lambda_2}, \dots, q^{\lambda_d})$.

Chapoton: compute $\mathrm{ehr}_{\mathcal{P}}^{\lambda}(q, t)$ in the limit as $t \rightarrow \infty \dots$

Corollary

$$\mathrm{cha}_{\mathcal{P}}^{\lambda} \left(q, \frac{1}{1-q} \right) = \begin{cases} \rho_{\mathbf{0}}^{\lambda}(q) & \text{if } \mathbf{0} \text{ is a vertex of } \mathcal{P}, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Chapoton Polynomials Revisited

$$\mathrm{ehr}_{\mathcal{P}}^{\lambda}(q, t) := \sum_{\mathbf{m} \in t\mathcal{P} \cap \mathbb{Z}^d} q^{\lambda(\mathbf{m})} = \mathrm{cha}_{\mathcal{P}}^{\lambda}(q, [t]_q) \quad \mathcal{K}_{\mathbf{v}} := \sum_{\mathbf{w} \text{ adjacent to } \mathbf{v}} \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}(\mathbf{w} - \mathbf{v})$$

Theorem (MB–Kunze 2025+) If \mathcal{P} is a lattice polytope and λ is a generic and positive integral form,

$$\mathrm{cha}_{\mathcal{P}}^{\lambda}(q, x) = \sum_{\mathbf{v} \text{ vertex of } \mathcal{P}} \rho_{\mathbf{v}}^{\lambda}(q) ((q-1)x + 1)^{\lambda(\mathbf{v})}$$

where $\rho_{\mathbf{v}}^{\lambda}(q) := \sigma_{\mathcal{K}_{\mathbf{v}}} (q^{\lambda_1}, q^{\lambda_2}, \dots, q^{\lambda_d})$.

Corollary The constant term of $\mathrm{cha}_{\mathcal{P}}^{\lambda}(q, x)$ is 1.

Chapoton Quasipolynomials

Theorem (MB–Kunze 2025+) If \mathcal{P} is a rational polytope with denominator p and λ is an integral form that is generic and positive, then there exist polynomials $\text{cha}_{\mathcal{P}}^{\lambda,r}(q, x) \in \mathbb{Q}(q)[x]$ such that

$$\text{cha}_{\mathcal{P}}^{\lambda,r}(q, [k]_q) = \text{ehr}_{\mathcal{P}}^{\lambda}(q, kp + r)$$

for all integers $k \geq 0$ and all $0 \leq r < p$.

The degree of $\text{cha}_{\mathcal{P}}^{\lambda,r}(q, x)$ is $\max\{\lambda(p\mathbf{v}) : \mathbf{v} \text{ vertex of } \mathcal{P}\}$. Each pole of a coefficient of $\text{cha}_{\mathcal{P}}^{\lambda,r}(q, x)$ is an n th root of unity where $n = |\lambda(g(p(\mathbf{w} - \mathbf{v})))|$ for some adjacent vertices \mathbf{v} and \mathbf{w} .

For any $0 \leq r < p$ and $k > 0$

$$(-1)^{\dim \mathcal{P}} \text{cha}_{\mathcal{P}}^{\lambda,r}\left(\frac{1}{q}, [-k]_{\frac{1}{q}}\right) = \text{ehr}_{\mathcal{P}^\circ}^{\lambda}(q, kp - r).$$

Chromatic Polynomials and Symmetric Functions

$G = (V, E)$ — graph (without loops)

Proper n -coloring — $\kappa : V \rightarrow [n] := \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ such that $\kappa(i) \neq \kappa(j)$ for any edge $ij \in E$

Chromatic polynomial — $\chi_G(n) := \#$ (proper n -colorings of G)

Example $\chi_{P_4}(n) = n(n-1)^3$



Chromatic symmetric function

$$X_G(x_1, x_2, \dots) := \sum_{\text{proper colorings } \kappa} x_1^{\#\kappa^{-1}(1)} x_2^{\#\kappa^{-1}(2)} \dots$$

We recover $\chi_G(n) = X_G(\underbrace{1, \dots, 1}_{n \text{ times}}, 0, 0, \dots)$

q -Chromatic Polynomials

Chromatic polynomial — $\chi_G(n) := \#$ (proper n -colorings of G)

Chromatic symmetric function

$$X_G(x_1, x_2, \dots) := \sum_{\text{proper colorings } \kappa} x_1^{\#\kappa^{-1}(1)} x_2^{\#\kappa^{-1}(2)} \dots$$

Definition $\chi_G^\lambda(q, n) := \sum_{\substack{\text{proper colorings} \\ \kappa: V \rightarrow [n]}} q^{\sum_{v \in V} \lambda_v \kappa(v)}$ where $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}^V$ is fixed

We recover $\chi_G(n) = \chi_G^1(1, n)$ and $\chi_G^1(q, n) = X_G(q, q^2, \dots, q^n, 0, 0, \dots)$

q -Chromatic Polynomials

Definition $\chi_G^\lambda(q, n) := \sum_{\substack{\text{proper colorings} \\ \kappa: V \rightarrow [n]}} q^{\sum_{v \in V} \lambda_v \kappa(v)}$ where $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}^V$ is fixed

We recover $\chi_G(n) = \chi_G^1(1, n)$ and $\chi_G^1(q, n) = X_G(q, q^2, \dots, q^n, 0, 0, \dots)$

Example 

$$\begin{aligned} \chi_{P_4}^1(q, n) &= \frac{1}{(1+q)(1+q+q^2)(1+q+q^2+q^3)} \times \\ &\quad \left(8q^{10}(1-q)^n(1-q)^{n-1}(1-q)^{n-2}(1-q)^{n-3} \right. \\ &\quad + (4q^9 + 6q^8 + 4q^7)(1-q)^{n+1}(1-q)^n(1-q)^{n-1}(1-q)^{n-2} \\ &\quad \left. + 2q^6(1-q)^{n+2}(1-q)^{n+1}(1-q)^n(1-q)^{n-1} \right) \end{aligned}$$

q -Chromatic Polynomial Structure

$$\chi_G^\lambda(q, n) := \sum_{\substack{\text{proper colorings} \\ \kappa: V \rightarrow [n]}} q^{\sum_{v \in V} \lambda_v \kappa(v)}$$

Theorem (Bajo–MB–Vindas–Meléndez 2025+) There exists a (unique) polynomial $\tilde{\chi}_G^\lambda(q, x) \in \mathbb{Z}(q)[x]$ such that

$$\chi_G^\lambda(q, n) = \tilde{\chi}_G^\lambda(q, [n]_q) \quad \text{where} \quad [n]_q := 1 + q + \cdots + q^{n-1}$$

Example $\tilde{\chi}_{P_4}^1(q, x) = \frac{1}{(1+q)(1+q+q^2)(1+q+q^2+q^3)} \times$

$$\begin{aligned} & \left((2q^8 + 4q^7 + 6q^6 + 4q^5 + 8q^4) x^4 \right. \\ & - (6q^8 + 10q^7 + 18q^6 + 18q^5 + 20q^4) x^3 \\ & + (4q^8 + 10q^7 + 20q^6 + 22q^5 + 16q^4) x^2 \\ & \left. - (4q^7 + 8q^6 + 8q^5 + 4q^4) x \right) \end{aligned}$$



Motivation

$$X_G(x_1, x_2, \dots) = \sum_{\text{proper colorings } \kappa} x_1^{\#\kappa^{-1}(1)} x_2^{\#\kappa^{-1}(2)} \dots$$

$$\chi_G^\lambda(q, n) = \sum_{\substack{\text{proper colorings} \\ \kappa: V \rightarrow [n]}} (q^{\lambda_1})^{\kappa(1)} \dots (q^{\lambda_{|V|}})^{\kappa(|V|)}$$

$$\chi_G^1(q, n) = \sum_{\substack{\text{proper colorings} \\ \kappa: V \rightarrow [n]}} q^{\sum_{v \in V} \kappa(v)}$$

$$\chi_G(n) = \# (\text{proper } n\text{-colorings of } G)$$

More Motivation

$$X_G(x_1, x_2, \dots) = \sum_{\text{proper colorings } \kappa} x_1^{\#\kappa^{-1}(1)} x_2^{\#\kappa^{-1}(2)} \dots$$

$$\chi_G^\lambda(q, n) = \sum_{\substack{\text{proper colorings} \\ \kappa: V \rightarrow [n]}} (q^{\lambda_1})^{\kappa(1)} \dots (q^{\lambda_{|V|}})^{\kappa(|V|)}$$

Conjecture (Stanley 1995) $X_G(x_1, x_2, \dots)$ distinguishes trees.

Conjecture (Loehr–Warrington 2024) $X_G(q, q^2, \dots, q^n, 0, 0, \dots) = \chi_G^1(q, n)$ distinguishes trees.

Conjecture (Bajo–MB–Vindas–Meléndez 2025+) The leading coefficient of $\tilde{\chi}_G^1(q, x)$ distinguishes trees.

More Motivation

$$X_G(x_1, x_2, \dots) = \sum_{\text{proper colorings } \kappa} x_1^{\#\kappa^{-1}(1)} x_2^{\#\kappa^{-1}(2)} \dots$$

$$\chi_G^\lambda(q, n) = \sum_{\substack{\text{proper colorings} \\ \kappa: V \rightarrow [n]}} (q^{\lambda_1})^{\kappa(1)} \dots (q^{\lambda_{|V|}})^{\kappa(|V|)}$$

Conjecture (Stanley 1995) $X_G(x_1, x_2, \dots)$ distinguishes trees.

Conjecture (Loehr–Warrington 2024) $X_G(q, q^2, \dots, q^n, 0, 0, \dots) = \chi_G^1(q, n)$ distinguishes trees.

Conjecture (Bajo–MB–Vindas–Meléndez 2025+) The leading coefficient of $\tilde{\chi}_G^1(q, x)$ distinguishes trees.

Remarks $\chi_G^1(q, n)$ was previously studied by Loeb (2007).

$\chi_G^\lambda(q, n)$ is a special evaluation (with polynomial structure) of Crew–Spirkl’s (2020) weighted chromatic symmetric function.

q -Chromatic Polynomial Formulas

$$\chi_G^\lambda(q, n) := \sum_{\substack{\text{proper colorings} \\ \kappa: V \rightarrow [n]}} q^{\sum_{v \in V} \lambda_v \kappa(v)} = \tilde{\chi}_G^\lambda(q, [n]_q)$$

Theorem (Bajo–MB–Vindas–Meléndez 2025+)

$$\tilde{\chi}_G^\lambda(q, x) = q^{\Lambda_V} \sum_{\text{flats } S \subseteq E} \mu(\emptyset, S) \prod_{C \in P(S)} \frac{1 - (1 + qx - x)^{\Lambda_C}}{1 - q^{\Lambda_C}}$$

where $P(S)$ denotes the collection of vertex sets of the connected components induced by S and $\Lambda_W := \sum_{v \in W} \lambda_v$. In particular, for a tree

$$\tilde{\chi}_T^\lambda(q, x) = q^{\Lambda_V} \sum_{S \subseteq E} (-1)^{|S|} \prod_{C \in P(S)} \frac{1 - (1 + qx - x)^{\Lambda_C}}{1 - q^{\Lambda_C}}$$

→ highly-structured formulas for paths, stars, . . .

The Leading Coefficient for Trees

$$\chi_G^\lambda(q, n) := \sum_{\substack{\text{proper colorings} \\ \kappa: V \rightarrow [n]}} q^{\sum_{v \in V} \lambda_v \kappa(v)} = \tilde{\chi}_G^\lambda(q, [n]_q)$$

Corollary Given a tree T , the leading coefficient of $\tilde{\chi}_T^1(q, n)$ equals

$$\begin{aligned} c_T^1(q) &= (q - q^2)^d \sum_{S \subseteq E} \prod_{C \in P(S)} \frac{1}{1 - q^{\Lambda_C}} \\ &= \frac{1}{[d]_q!} \sum_{(\rho, \sigma)} q^{d + \text{maj } \sigma} \quad d := |V| \end{aligned}$$

where the sum ranges over all pairs of acyclic orientations ρ of T and linear extensions σ of the poset induced by ρ

Corollary $c_T^1(q) = (-q)^d X_T \left(\frac{1}{q}, \frac{1}{q^2}, \frac{1}{q^3}, \dots \right)$

Stanley's Tree Conjecture Revisited

Conjecture (Stanley 1995) $X_G(x_1, x_2, \dots)$ distinguishes trees.

Conjecture (Loehr–Warrington 2024) $X_G(q, q^2, \dots, q^n, 0, 0, \dots) = \chi_G^1(q, n)$ distinguishes trees.

Conjecture (Bajo–MB–Vindas–Meléndez 2025+) The leading coefficient of $\tilde{\chi}_G^1(q, x)$ distinguishes trees.

Theorem (MB–Braun–Cornejo 2026+) Fix $k \geq d$ and $\lambda_j := k^j$. Then $\tilde{\chi}_G^\lambda(q, x)$ distinguishes graphs on d nodes.

Stanley's Tree Conjecture Revisited

Conjecture (Stanley 1995) $X_G(x_1, x_2, \dots)$ distinguishes trees.

Conjecture (Loehr–Warrington 2024) $X_G(q, q^2, \dots, q^n, 0, 0, \dots) = \chi_G^1(q, n)$ distinguishes trees.

Conjecture (Bajo–MB–Vindas–Meléndez 2025+) The leading coefficient of $\tilde{\chi}_G^1(q, x)$ distinguishes trees.

Theorem (MB–Braun–Cornejo 2026+) Fix $k \geq d$ and $\lambda_j := k^j$. Then $\tilde{\chi}_G^\lambda(q, x)$ distinguishes graphs on d nodes.

- ▶ Play with different polynomial bases
- ▶ G -partitions
- ▶ Other coefficients of $\tilde{\chi}_G^1(q, x)$?

