The "Coin Exchange Problem" of Frobenius



Given coins of denominations a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_d (with no common factor), what is the largest amount that cannot be changed?

Given coins of denominations a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_d (with no common factor), what is the largest amount that cannot be changed?

Current state of affairs:

▶ d = 2 solved (probably by Sylvester in 1880's)

Given coins of denominations a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_d (with no common factor), what is the largest amount that cannot be changed?

Current state of affairs:

- ▶ d = 2 solved (probably by Sylvester in 1880's)
- d = 3 solved algorithmically (Herzog 1970, Greenberg 1980, Davison 1994) and in not-quite-explicit form (Denham 2003, Ramirez-Alfonsin 2005)

Given coins of denominations a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_d (with no common factor), what is the largest amount that cannot be changed?

Current state of affairs:

▶ d = 2 solved (probably by Sylvester in 1880's)

- d = 3 solved algorithmically (Herzog 1970, Greenberg 1980, Davison 1994) and in not-quite-explicit form (Denham 2003, Ramirez-Alfonsin 2005)
- ▶ $d \ge 4$ computationally feasible (Kannan 1992, Barvinok-Woods 2003), otherwise: completely open

If g is the greatest common divisor of a and b then we can find integers m and n such that

g = ma + nb .

If g is the greatest common divisor of a and b then we can find integers m and n such that

$$g = ma + nb$$
.

(Euclidean Algorithm)

If g is the greatest common divisor of a and b then we can find integers m and n such that

$$g = ma + nb$$
.

(Euclidean Algorithm)

In particular, if a and b have no common factor then there are integers m and n such that

1 = ma + nb .

If g is the greatest common divisor of a and b then we can find integers m and n such that

$$g = ma + nb$$
.

(Euclidean Algorithm)

In particular, if a and b have no common factor then there are integers m and n such that

$$l = ma + nb$$
.

In this case, any integer t can be written as an integral linear combination of a and b:

 $t = (tm)a + (tn)b \; .$

If g is the greatest common divisor of a and b then we can find integers m and n such that

$$g = ma + nb$$
.

(Euclidean Algorithm)

In particular, if a and b have no common factor then there are integers m and n such that

$$l = ma + nb$$
.

In this case, any integer t can be written as an integral linear combination of a and b:

 $t = (tm)a + (tn)b \; .$

One of the coefficients tm and tn is negative.

If g is the greatest common divisor of a and b then we can find integers m and n such that

$$g = ma + nb$$
.

(Euclidean Algorithm)

In particular, if a and b have no common factor then there are integers m and n such that

1 = ma + nb .

In this case, any integer t can be written as an integral linear combination of a and b:

 $t = (tm)a + (tn)b \; .$

One of the coefficients tm and tn is negative.

Claim: If t is sufficiently large then we can express it as a nonnegative integral linear combination of a and b.

Given two positive integers a and b with no common factor, we can write the (positive) integer t as an integral linear combination

t = ma + nb .

Given two positive integers a and b with no common factor, we can write the (positive) integer t as an integral linear combination

t = ma + nb .

$$t = (m+b)a + (n-a)b .$$

Given two positive integers a and b with no common factor, we can write the (positive) integer t as an integral linear combination

t = ma + nb .

$$t = (m-b)a + (n+a)b .$$

Given two positive integers a and b with no common factor, we can write the (positive) integer t as an integral linear combination

t = ma + nb .

$$t = (m + 34b)a + (n - 34a)b$$
.

Given two positive integers a and b with no common factor, we can write the (positive) integer t as an integral linear combination

t = ma + nb .

$$t = (m + 81b)a + (n - 81a)b$$
.

Given two positive integers a and b with no common factor, we can write the (positive) integer t as an integral linear combination

t = ma + nb .

$$t = (m - 39b)a + (n + 39a)b$$
.

Given two positive integers a and b with no common factor, we can write the (positive) integer t as an integral linear combination

t = ma + nb .

$$t = (m + 92b)a + (n - 92a)b$$
.

Given two positive integers a and b with no common factor, we can write the (positive) integer t as an integral linear combination

t = ma + nb .

$$t = (m - 46b)a + (n + 46a)b$$
.

Given two positive integers a and b with no common factor, we can write the (positive) integer t as an integral linear combination

t = ma + nb .

$$t = (m + 29b)a + (n - 29a)b$$
.

Given two positive integers a and b with no common factor, we can write the (positive) integer t as an integral linear combination

t = ma + nb .

$$t = (m - 74b)a + (n + 74a)b$$
.

Given two positive integers a and b with no common factor, we can write the (positive) integer t as an integral linear combination

t = ma + nb .

$$t = (m + 57b)a + (n - 57a)b$$
.

Given two positive integers a and b with no common factor, we can write the (positive) integer t as an integral linear combination

t = ma + nb .

$$t = (m - 95b)a + (n + 95a)b$$
.

Given two positive integers a and b with no common factor, we can write the (positive) integer t as an integral linear combination

t = ma + nb .

$$t = (m + 22b)a + (n - 22a)b$$
.

Given two positive integers a and b with no common factor, we can write the (positive) integer t as an integral linear combination

t = ma + nb .

$$t = (m - 63b)a + (n + 63a)b$$
.

Given two positive integers a and b with no common factor, we can write the (positive) integer t as an integral linear combination

t = ma + nb .

$$t = (m + 84b)a + (n - 84a)b$$
.

Given two positive integers a and b with no common factor, we can write the (positive) integer t as an integral linear combination

t = ma + nb .

$$t = (m - 42b)a + (n + 42a)b$$
.

Given two positive integers a and b with no common factor, we can write the (positive) integer t as an integral linear combination

t = ma + nb .

Once we have one such representation of t we can find many more:

$$t = (m - 42b)a + (n + 42a)b$$
.

There is a unique representation

$$t = ma + nb$$

for which $0 \le m \le b - 1$.

Given two positive integers a and b with no common factor, we can write the (positive) integer t as an integral linear combination

t = ma + nb .

Once we have one such representation of t we can find many more:

$$t = (m - 42b)a + (n + 42a)b$$
.

There is a unique representation

$$t = ma + nb$$

for which $0 \le m \le b - 1$. So if t is large enough, e.g., $\ge ab$, then we can find a nonnegative integral linear combination of a and b.

A well-defined homework

Prove that the Frobenius problem is well defined for d > 2.

Given two positive integers a and b with no common factor, we say the integer t is representable if we can find nonnegative integers m and n such that

t = ma + nb.

Given two positive integers a and b with no common factor, we say the integer t is representable if we can find nonnegative integers m and n such that

t = ma + nb.

We have seen already that we can always write t as an integral linear combination

t = ma + nb

for which $0 \le m \le b - 1$.

Given two positive integers a and b with no common factor, we say the integer t is representable if we can find nonnegative integers m and n such that

t = ma + nb.

We have seen already that we can always write t as an integral linear combination

t = ma + nb

for which $0 \le m \le b - 1$.

If (and only if) we can find such a representation for which also $n \ge 0$ then t is representable.

Given two positive integers a and b with no common factor, we say the integer t is representable if we can find nonnegative integers m and n such that

t = ma + nb.

We have seen already that we can always write t as an integral linear combination

t = ma + nb

for which $0 \le m \le b - 1$.

If (and only if) we can find such a representation for which also $n \ge 0$ then t is representable. Hence the largest integer t that is not representable is

$$t = \clubsuit a + \heartsuit b \; .$$

Given two positive integers a and b with no common factor, we say the integer t is representable if we can find nonnegative integers m and n such that

t = ma + nb.

We have seen already that we can always write t as an integral linear combination

t = ma + nb

for which $0 \le m \le b - 1$.

If (and only if) we can find such a representation for which also $n \ge 0$ then t is representable. Hence the largest integer t that is not representable is

 $t = (b-1)a + \heartsuit b \; .$

Given two positive integers a and b with no common factor, we say the integer t is representable if we can find nonnegative integers m and n such that

t = ma + nb.

We have seen already that we can always write t as an integral linear combination

t = ma + nb

for which $0 \le m \le b - 1$.

If (and only if) we can find such a representation for which also $n \ge 0$ then t is representable. Hence the largest integer t that is not representable is

t = (b-1)a + (-1)b.
A closer look for two coins

Given two positive integers a and b with no common factor, we say the integer t is representable if we can find nonnegative integers m and n such that

t = ma + nb.

We have seen already that we can always write t as an integral linear combination

t = ma + nb

for which $0 \le m \le b - 1$.

If (and only if) we can find such a representation for which also $n \ge 0$ then t is representable. Hence the largest integer t that is not representable is

$$t = ab - a - b ,$$

a formula most likely known already to Sylvester in the 1880's.

Given two positive integers a and b with no common factor, we say the integer t is k-representable if there are exactly k solutions $(m, n) \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}^2$ to

t = ma + nb.

Given two positive integers a and b with no common factor, we say the integer t is k-representable if there are exactly k solutions $(m, n) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^2$ to

t = ma + nb.

We define g_k as the largest k-representable integer. (So g_0 is the Frobenius number.)

Given two positive integers a and b with no common factor, we say the integer t is k-representable if there are exactly k solutions $(m, n) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^2$ to

t = ma + nb.

We define g_k as the largest k-representable integer. (So g_0 is the Frobenius number.) Prove:

• g_k is well defined.

Given two positive integers a and b with no common factor, we say the integer t is k-representable if there are exactly k solutions $(m, n) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^2$ to

t = ma + nb.

We define g_k as the largest k-representable integer. (So g_0 is the Frobenius number.) Prove:

• g_k is well defined.

 $\blacktriangleright \quad g_k = (k+1)ab - a - b$

Given two positive integers a and b with no common factor, we say the integer t is k-representable if there are exactly k solutions $(m, n) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^2$ to

t = ma + nb.

We define g_k as the largest k-representable integer. (So g_0 is the Frobenius number.) Prove:

• g_k is well defined.

 $\blacktriangleright \quad g_k = (k+1)ab - a - b$

• Given $k \ge 2$, the smallest k-representable integer is ab(k-1).

Let $N(t) = \# \{ (m, n) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 : m, n \ge 0, ma + nb = t \}$

Let $N(t) = \# \{ (m, n) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 : m, n \ge 0, ma + nb = t \}$

If the positive integer t is representable then we can find m, n such that

t = ma + nb and $0 \le m \le b - 1, n \ge 0$.

Let $N(t) = \# \{ (m, n) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 : m, n \ge 0, ma + nb = t \}$

If the positive integer t is representable then we can find m, n such that

t = ma + nb and $0 \le m \le b - 1, n \ge 0$.

Starting from this representation, we can obtain more...

t = (m+b)a + (n-a)b

Let $N(t) = \# \{ (m, n) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 : m, n \ge 0, ma + nb = t \}$

If the positive integer t is representable then we can find m, n such that

t = ma + nb and $0 \le m \le b - 1, n \ge 0$.

Starting from this representation, we can obtain more...

t = (m+2b)a + (n-2a)b

Let $N(t) = \# \{ (m, n) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 : m, n \ge 0, ma + nb = t \}$

If the positive integer t is representable then we can find m, n such that

t = ma + nb and $0 \le m \le b - 1, n \ge 0$.

Starting from this representation, we can obtain more...

t = (m+3b)a + (n-3a)b

Let $N(t) = \# \{ (m, n) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 : m, n \ge 0, ma + nb = t \}$

If the positive integer t is representable then we can find m, n such that

t = ma + nb and $0 \le m \le b - 1, n \ge 0$.

Starting from this representation, we can obtain more...

t = (m+4b)a + (n-4a)b

Let $N(t) = \# \{ (m, n) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 : m, n \ge 0, ma + nb = t \}$

If the positive integer t is representable then we can find m, n such that

t = ma + nb and $0 \le m \le b - 1, n \ge 0$.

Starting from this representation, we can obtain more...

t = (m+5b)a + (n-5a)b

Let $N(t) = \# \{ (m, n) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 : m, n \ge 0, ma + nb = t \}$

If the positive integer t is representable then we can find m, n such that

t = ma + nb and $0 \le m \le b - 1, n \ge 0$.

Starting from this representation, we can obtain more...

t = (m+6b)a + (n-6a)b

Let $N(t) = \# \{ (m, n) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 : m, n \ge 0, ma + nb = t \}$

If the positive integer t is representable then we can find m, n such that

t = ma + nb and $0 \le m \le b - 1, n \ge 0$.

Starting from this representation, we can obtain more...

t = (m+7b)a + (n-7a)b

Let $N(t) = \# \{ (m, n) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 : m, n \ge 0, ma + nb = t \}$

If the positive integer t is representable then we can find m, n such that

t = ma + nb and $0 \le m \le b - 1, n \ge 0$.

Starting from this representation, we can obtain more...

t = (m+kb)a + (n-ka)b

until n - ka becomes negative.

Let
$$N(t) = \# \{ (m, n) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 : m, n \ge 0, ma + nb = t \}$$

If the positive integer t is representable then we can find m, n such that

t = ma + nb and $0 \le m \le b - 1, n \ge 0$.

Starting from this representation, we can obtain more...

t = (m+kb)a + (n-ka)b

until n - ka becomes negative. But then

$$t + ab = (m + kb)a + (n - ka)b + ab$$
$$= (m + kb)a + (n - (k - 1)a)b$$

has one more representation

Let
$$N(t) = \# \{ (m, n) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 : m, n \ge 0, ma + nb = t \}$$

If the positive integer t is representable then we can find m, n such that

t = ma + nb and $0 \le m \le b - 1, n \ge 0$.

Starting from this representation, we can obtain more...

t = (m+kb)a + (n-ka)b

until n - ka becomes negative. But then

t + ab = (m + kb)a + (n - ka)b + ab= (m + kb)a + (n - (k - 1)a)b

has one more representation, i.e., N(t + ab) = N(t) + 1.

The "Coin Exchange Problem" of Frobenius 💧 Matthias Beck

A geometric interlude

 $N(t) = \#\{(m,n) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 : m, n \ge 0, ma + nb = t\}$ counts integer points in $\mathbb{R}^2_{\ge 0}$ on the line ax + by = t.

A geometric interlude

 $N(t) = \#\{(m,n) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 : m, n \ge 0, ma + nb = t\}$ counts integer points in $\mathbb{R}^2_{\ge 0}$ on the line ax + by = t.



Shameless plug

M. Beck & S. Robins

Computing the continuous discretely Integer-point enumeration in polyhedra

To appear in Springer Undergraduate Texts in Mathematics

Preprint available at math.sfsu.edu/beck

MSRI Summer Graduate Program at Banff (August 6-20)

Given a sequence $(a_k)_{k=0}^{\infty}$ we encode it into the generating function

$$F(x) = \sum_{k>0} a_k x^k$$

Given a sequence $(a_k)_{k=0}^{\infty}$ we encode it into the generating function

$$F(x) = \sum_{k \ge 0} a_k x^k$$

$$f_0 = 0, \ f_1 = 1, \ \text{ and } \ f_{k+2} = f_{k+1} + f_k \ \text{ for } \ k \geq 0 \ .$$

Given a sequence $(a_k)_{k=0}^{\infty}$ we encode it into the generating function

$$F(x) = \sum_{k \ge 0} a_k x^k$$

$$f_0 = 0, \ f_1 = 1, \ \text{ and } \ f_{k+2} = f_{k+1} + f_k \ \text{ for } \ k \ge 0$$
 .

$$\sum_{k \ge 0} f_{k+2} x^k = \sum_{k \ge 0} f_{k+1} x^k + \sum_{k \ge 0} f_k x^k$$

Given a sequence $(a_k)_{k=0}^{\infty}$ we encode it into the generating function

$$F(x) = \sum_{k>0} a_k x^k$$

$$f_0 = 0, \ f_1 = 1, \ \text{ and } \ f_{k+2} = f_{k+1} + f_k \ \text{ for } \ k \ge 0 \ .$$

$$\sum_{k\geq 0} f_{k+2} x^k = \sum_{k\geq 0} f_{k+1} x^k + \sum_{k\geq 0} f_k x^k$$
$$\frac{1}{x^2} \sum_{k\geq 2} f_k x^k = \frac{1}{x} \sum_{k\geq 1} f_k x^k + \sum_{k\geq 0} f_k x^k$$

Given a sequence $(a_k)_{k=0}^{\infty}$ we encode it into the generating function

$$F(x) = \sum_{k \ge 0} a_k x^k$$

$$f_0 = 0, \ f_1 = 1, \ \ {
m and} \ \ f_{k+2} = f_{k+1} + f_k \ \ {
m for} \ \ k \geq 0 \ .$$

$$\sum_{k \ge 0} f_{k+2} x^k = \sum_{k \ge 0} f_{k+1} x^k + \sum_{k \ge 0} f_k x^k$$
$$\frac{1}{x^2} \sum_{k \ge 2} f_k x^k = \frac{1}{x} \sum_{k \ge 1} f_k x^k + \sum_{k \ge 0} f_k x^k$$
$$\frac{1}{x^2} (F(x) - x) = \frac{1}{x} F(x) + F(x)$$

Given a sequence $(a_k)_{k=0}^{\infty}$ we encode it into the generating function

$$F(x) = \sum_{k \ge 0} a_k \, x^k$$

Example: Fibonacci sequence

 $f_0 = 0, f_1 = 1, \text{ and } f_{k+2} = f_{k+1} + f_k \text{ for } k \ge 0$.

$$\sum_{k \ge 0} f_{k+2} x^k = \sum_{k \ge 0} f_{k+1} x^k + \sum_{k \ge 0} f_k x^k$$
$$\frac{1}{x^2} \sum_{k \ge 2} f_k x^k = \frac{1}{x} \sum_{k \ge 1} f_k x^k + \sum_{k \ge 0} f_k x^k$$
$$\frac{1}{x^2} (F(x) - x) = \frac{1}{x} F(x) + F(x)$$
$$F(x) = \frac{x}{1 - x - x^2}.$$

A Fibonacci homework

Expand
$$F(x) = \sum_{k \ge 0} f_k x^k = \frac{x}{1 - x - x^2}$$
 into partial fractions...

The "Coin Exchange Problem" of Frobenius 🛛 🔶 Matthias Beck

A Fibonacci homework

Expand
$$F(x) = \sum_{k \ge 0} f_k x^k = \frac{x}{1 - x - x^2}$$
 into partial fractions...
$$f_k = \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} \left(\left(\frac{1 + \sqrt{5}}{2} \right)^k - \left(\frac{1 - \sqrt{5}}{2} \right)^k \right).$$

The geometric series
$$\sum_{k\geq 0} x^k = \frac{1}{1-x}$$
, suspected to converge for $|x| < 1$.

The geometric series
$$\sum_{k\geq 0} x^k = \frac{1}{1-x}$$
, suspected to converge for $|x| < 1$.
Example: $a_k = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } 7|k \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$

The geometric series
$$\sum_{k\geq 0} x^k = \frac{1}{1-x}$$
, suspected to converge for $|x| < 1$.
Example: $a_k = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } 7|k \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$
 $\sum_{k\geq 0} a_k x^k = \sum_{j\geq 0} x^{7j}$

The geometric series
$$\sum_{k\geq 0} x^k = \frac{1}{1-x}$$
, suspected to converge for $|x| < 1$.
Example: $a_k = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } 7|k \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$
 $\sum_{k\geq 0} a_k x^k = \sum_{j\geq 0} x^{7j}$
 $= \frac{1}{1-x^7}$

The "Coin Exchange Problem" of Frobenius 👌 Matthias Beck

Frobenius generatingfunctionology

Given positive integers a and b, recall that

 $N(t) = \# \{ (m, n) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 : m, n \ge 0, ma + nb = t \}.$

Frobenius generatingfunctionology

Given positive integers a and b, recall that

 $N(t) = \# \{ (m, n) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 : m, n \ge 0, ma + nb = t \}.$

Consider the product of the geometric series

$$\frac{1}{(1-x^a)(1-x^b)} = \left(\sum_{m\geq 0} x^{ma}\right) \left(\sum_{n\geq 0} x^{nb}\right).$$

Frobenius generatingfunctionology

Given positive integers a and b, recall that

 $N(t) = \# \{ (m, n) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 : m, n \ge 0, ma + nb = t \}.$

Consider the product of the geometric series

$$\frac{1}{(1-x^a)(1-x^b)} = \left(\sum_{m\geq 0} x^{ma}\right) \left(\sum_{n\geq 0} x^{nb}\right).$$

A typical term looks like x^{ma+nb} for some $m, n \ge 0$
Given positive integers a and b, recall that

 $N(t) = \# \{ (m, n) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 : m, n \ge 0, ma + nb = t \}.$

Consider the product of the geometric series

$$\frac{1}{(1-x^a)(1-x^b)} = \left(\sum_{m\geq 0} x^{ma}\right) \left(\sum_{n\geq 0} x^{nb}\right).$$

A typical term looks like x^{ma+nb} for some $m, n \ge 0$, and so

$$\frac{1}{(1-x^a)(1-x^b)} = \sum_{t \ge 0} N(t) x^t$$

is the generating function associated to the counting function N(t).

$$\sum_{t \ge 0} N(t + ab) x^t = \sum_{t \ge 0} (N(t) + 1) x^t$$

$$\sum_{t \ge 0} N(t+ab) x^{t} = \sum_{t \ge 0} (N(t)+1) x^{t}$$
$$\frac{1}{x^{ab}} \sum_{t \ge ab} N(t) x^{t} = \sum_{t \ge 0} N(t) x^{t} + \sum_{t \ge 0} x^{t}$$

$$\begin{split} \sum_{t \ge 0} N(t+ab) \, x^t &= \sum_{t \ge 0} \left(N(t) + 1 \right) x^t \\ \frac{1}{x^{ab}} \sum_{t \ge ab} N(t) \, x^t &= \sum_{t \ge 0} N(t) \, x^t + \sum_{t \ge 0} x^t \\ \frac{1}{x^{ab}} \left(\sum_{t \ge 0} N(t) \, x^t - \sum_{t=0}^{ab-1} N(t) \, x^t \right) &= \frac{1}{(1-x^a) (1-x^b)} + \frac{1}{1-x} \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} \sum_{t \ge 0} N(t+ab) \, x^t &= \sum_{t \ge 0} \left(N(t) + 1 \right) x^t \\ &= \frac{1}{x^{ab}} \sum_{t \ge ab} N(t) \, x^t &= \sum_{t \ge 0} N(t) \, x^t + \sum_{t \ge 0} x^t \\ &= \frac{1}{x^{ab}} \left(\sum_{t \ge 0} N(t) \, x^t - \sum_{t=0}^{ab-1} N(t) \, x^t \right) &= \frac{1}{(1-x^a) (1-x^b)} + \frac{1}{1-x} \\ &\frac{1}{x^{ab}} \left(\frac{1}{(1-x^a) (1-x^b)} - \sum_{t=0}^{ab-1} N(t) \, x^t \right) &= \frac{1}{(1-x^a) (1-x^b)} + \frac{1}{1-x} \end{split}$$

Recall that N(t + ab) = N(t) + 1 $\sum_{t \ge 0} N(t+ab) x^t = \sum_{t \ge 0} (N(t)+1) x^t$ $\frac{1}{x^{ab}} \sum_{t > ab} N(t) x^t = \sum_{t > 0} N(t) x^t + \sum_{t > 0} x^t$ $\frac{1}{x^{ab}} \left(\sum_{t>0} N(t) x^t - \sum_{t=0}^{ab-1} N(t) x^t \right) = \frac{1}{(1-x^a)(1-x^b)} + \frac{1}{1-x}$ $\frac{1}{x^{ab}} \left(\frac{1}{(1-x^a)(1-x^b)} - \sum_{t=0}^{ab-1} N(t) x^t \right) = \frac{1}{(1-x^a)(1-x^b)} + \frac{1}{1-x}$ ah^{-1}

$$\sum_{t=0}^{ab-1} N(t) x^t + \frac{x^{ab}}{1-x} = \frac{1-x^{ab}}{(1-x^a)(1-x^b)}$$

The "Coin Exchange Problem" of Frobenius 💧 Matthias Beck

$$\sum_{t=0}^{ab-1} N(t) x^t + \frac{x^{ab}}{1-x} = \frac{1-x^{ab}}{(1-x^a)(1-x^b)}$$

$$\sum_{t=0}^{ab-1} N(t) x^{t} + \frac{x^{ab}}{1-x} = \frac{1-x^{ab}}{(1-x^{a})(1-x^{b})}$$
$$\sum_{t=0}^{ab-1} N(t) x^{t} + \sum_{t>ab} x^{t} = \frac{1-x^{ab}}{(1-x^{a})(1-x^{b})}$$

$$\sum_{t=0}^{ab-1} N(t) x^{t} + \frac{x^{ab}}{1-x} = \frac{1-x^{ab}}{(1-x^{a})(1-x^{b})}$$
$$\sum_{t=0}^{ab-1} N(t) x^{t} + \sum_{t>ab} x^{t} = \frac{1-x^{ab}}{(1-x^{a})(1-x^{b})}$$

For $0 \leq t \leq ab - 1$, N(t) is 0 or 1, and so

$$\sum_{\substack{t \text{ representable}}} x^t = \frac{1 - x^{ab}}{(1 - x^a) \left(1 - x^b\right)}$$

The "Coin Exchange Problem" of Frobenius 👌 Matthias Beck

$$\sum_{t=0}^{ab-1} N(t) x^t + \frac{x^{ab}}{1-x} = \frac{1-x^{ab}}{(1-x^a)(1-x^b)}$$
$$\sum_{t=0}^{ab-1} N(t) x^t + \sum_{t>ab} x^t = \frac{1-x^{ab}}{(1-x^a)(1-x^b)}$$

For $0 \leq t \leq ab - 1$, N(t) is 0 or 1, and so

$$\sum_{\substack{t \text{ representable}}} x^t = \frac{1 - x^{ab}}{(1 - x^a)(1 - x^b)}$$
$$\sum_{\substack{t \text{ not representable}}} x^t = \frac{1}{1 - x} - \frac{1 - x^{ab}}{(1 - x^a)(1 - x^b)}$$

 and

16

A higher-dimensional homework

We say that t is representable by the positive integers a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_d if there is a solution (m_1, m_2, \ldots, m_d) in nonnegative integers to

 $m_1a_1 + m_2a_2 + \dots + m_da_d = t$

A higher-dimensional homework

We say that t is representable by the positive integers a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_d if there is a solution (m_1, m_2, \ldots, m_d) in nonnegative integers to

$$m_1a_1 + m_2a_2 + \dots + m_da_d = t$$

Prove

$$\sum_{\substack{t \text{ representable}}} x^t = \frac{p(x)}{(1-x^{a_1})(1-x^{a_2})\cdots(1-x^{a_d})}$$

for some polynomial p.

$$\sum_{t \text{ not representable}} x^{t} = \frac{1}{1-x} - \frac{1-x^{ab}}{(1-x^{a})(1-x^{b})}$$

$$\sum_{\substack{t \text{ not representable}}} x^{t} = \frac{1}{1-x} - \frac{1-x^{ab}}{(1-x^{a})(1-x^{b})}$$
$$= \frac{1-x^{a}-x^{b}+x^{a+b}-(1-x-x^{ab}+x^{ab+1})}{(1-x)(1-x^{a})(1-x^{b})}$$

$$\sum_{\substack{t \text{ not representable}}} x^t = \frac{1}{1-x} - \frac{1-x^{ab}}{(1-x^a)(1-x^b)}$$
$$= \frac{1-x^a - x^b + x^{a+b} - (1-x-x^{ab} + x^{ab+1})}{(1-x)(1-x^a)(1-x^b)}$$
$$= \frac{x-x^a - x^b + x^{a+b} + x^{ab} - x^{ab+1}}{(1-x)(1-x^a)(1-x^b)}$$

$$\sum_{\substack{t \text{ not representable}}} x^t = \frac{1}{1-x} - \frac{1-x^{ab}}{(1-x^a)(1-x^b)}$$
$$= \frac{1-x^a - x^b + x^{a+b} - (1-x-x^{ab} + x^{ab+1})}{(1-x)(1-x^a)(1-x^b)}$$
$$= \frac{x-x^a - x^b + x^{a+b} + x^{ab} - x^{ab+1}}{(1-x)(1-x^a)(1-x^b)}$$

This polynomial has degree ab + 1 - (1 + a + b) = ab - a - b.

The "Coin Exchange Problem" of Frobenius 👌 Matthias Beck

$$\sum_{\substack{t \text{ not representable}}} x^t = \frac{1}{1-x} - \frac{1-x^{ab}}{(1-x^a)(1-x^b)}$$
$$= \frac{1-x^a - x^b + x^{a+b} - (1-x-x^{ab} + x^{ab+1})}{(1-x)(1-x^a)(1-x^b)}$$
$$= \frac{x-x^a - x^b + x^{a+b} + x^{ab} - x^{ab+1}}{(1-x)(1-x^a)(1-x^b)}$$

This polynomial has degree ab + 1 - (1 + a + b) = ab - a - b.

The number of non-representable positive integers is

$$\lim_{x \to 1} \frac{x - x^a - x^b + x^{ab} + x^{a+b} - x^{ab+1}}{(1 - x)(1 - x^a)(1 - x^b)}$$

$$\sum_{\substack{t \text{ not representable}}} x^t = \frac{1}{1-x} - \frac{1-x^{ab}}{(1-x^a)(1-x^b)}$$
$$= \frac{1-x^a - x^b + x^{a+b} - (1-x-x^{ab} + x^{ab+1})}{(1-x)(1-x^a)(1-x^b)}$$
$$= \frac{x-x^a - x^b + x^{a+b} + x^{ab} - x^{ab+1}}{(1-x)(1-x^a)(1-x^b)}$$

This polynomial has degree ab + 1 - (1 + a + b) = ab - a - b.

The number of non-representable positive integers is

$$\lim_{x \to 1} \frac{x - x^a - x^b + x^{ab} + x^{a+b} - x^{ab+1}}{(1 - x)(1 - x^a)(1 - x^b)} = \frac{(a - 1)(b - 1)}{2} \,.$$

Another homework with several representations

Recall: Given two positive integers a and b with no common factor, we say the integer t is k-representable if there are exactly k solutions $(m, n) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^2$ to

t = ma + nb.

Another homework with several representations

Recall: Given two positive integers a and b with no common factor, we say the integer t is k-representable if there are exactly k solutions $(m, n) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^2$ to

$$t = ma + nb.$$

Prove:

 \blacktriangleright There are exactly ab - 1 integers that are uniquely representable.

Another homework with several representations

Recall: Given two positive integers a and b with no common factor, we say the integer t is k-representable if there are exactly k solutions $(m, n) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^2$ to

$$t = ma + nb.$$

Prove:

- There are exactly ab 1 integers that are uniquely representable.
- Given $k \geq 2$, there are exactly ab k-representable integers.

Given integers a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_d with no common factor, let

$$F(x) = \sum_{t \text{ representable}} x^{t} = \frac{p(x)}{(1 - x^{a_1})(1 - x^{a_2})\cdots(1 - x^{a_d})} .$$

Given integers a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_d with no common factor, let

$$F(x) = \sum_{t \text{ representable}} x^t = \frac{p(x)}{(1 - x^{a_1})(1 - x^{a_2}) \cdots (1 - x^{a_d})} .$$

• (Denham 2003) For d = 3, the polynomial p(x) has either 4 or 6 terms, given in semi-explicit form.

Given integers a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_d with no common factor, let

$$F(x) = \sum_{t \text{ representable}} x^{t} = \frac{p(x)}{(1 - x^{a_1})(1 - x^{a_2})\cdots(1 - x^{a_d})}$$

. .

• (Denham 2003) For d = 3, the polynomial p(x) has either 4 or 6 terms, given in semi-explicit form.

• (Bresinsky 1975) For $d \ge 4$, there is no absolute bound for the number of terms in p(x).

Given integers a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_d with no common factor, let

$$F(x) = \sum_{t \text{ representable}} x^t = \frac{p(x)}{(1 - x^{a_1})(1 - x^{a_2})\cdots(1 - x^{a_d})} \,.$$

• (Denham 2003) For d = 3, the polynomial p(x) has either 4 or 6 terms, given in semi-explicit form.

- (Bresinsky 1975) For $d \ge 4$, there is no absolute bound for the number of terms in p(x).
- (Barvinok-Woods 2003) For fixed d, the rational generating function F(x) can be written as a "short" sum of rational functions.

Frobenius number and number of non-representable integers in special cases: arithmetic progressions and variations, extension cases

- Frobenius number and number of non-representable integers in special cases: arithmetic progressions and variations, extension cases
- Upper and lower bounds for the Frobenius number

- Frobenius number and number of non-representable integers in special cases: arithmetic progressions and variations, extension cases
- Upper and lower bounds for the Frobenius number
- Algorithms

- Frobenius number and number of non-representable integers in special cases: arithmetic progressions and variations, extension cases
- Upper and lower bounds for the Frobenius number
- ► Algorithms
- Generalizations: vector version, k-representable Frobenius number



The "Coin Exchange Problem" of Frobenius 💧 Matthias Beck



The "Coin Exchange Problem" of Frobenius 👌 Matthias Beck



$\blacktriangleright \quad d \ge 4$

► Good upper bounds



$\blacktriangleright \quad d \geq 4$

► Good upper bounds

Practical algorithms

A few references

- J. Ramirez Alfonsin The Diophantine Frobenius problem Oxford University Press (to appear)
- H. Wilf generatingfunctionology Academic Press www.math.upenn.edu/ wilf/DownldGF.html
- M. Beck & S. Robins Computing the continuous discretely— Integer-point enumeration in polyhedra Springer UTM (to appear), math.sfsu.edu/beck