The Enumeration of Nowhere-Zero Integral Flows on Graphs

Matthias Beck, San Francisco State University

Thomas Zaslavsky, Binghamton University (SUNY)

math.sfsu.edu/beck

arXiv:math.CO/0309331

Flows on Graphs

A: abelian group

A-flow on a (bridgeless) graph $\Gamma = (V, E)$: mapping $x : E \to A$ such that for every node $v \in V$

$$\sum_{h(e)=v} x(e) = \sum_{t(e)=v} x(e)$$

 $\begin{array}{ll} h(e) & := & \mathsf{head} \\ t(e) & := & \mathsf{tail} \end{array} \text{ of the edge } e \text{ in a (fixed) orientation of } \Gamma \end{array}$

Flows on Graphs

A: abelian group

A-flow on a (bridgeless) graph $\Gamma = (V, E)$: mapping $x : E \to A$ such that for every node $v \in V$

$$\sum_{h(e)=v} x(e) = \sum_{t(e)=v} x(e)$$

 $\begin{array}{lll} h(e) & := & \mathsf{head} \\ t(e) & := & \mathsf{tail} \end{array} \text{ of the edge } e \text{ in a (fixed) orientation of } \Gamma \end{array}$

k-flow : \mathbb{Z} -flow with values in $\{-k+1, -k+2, \ldots, k-1\}$

Flows on Graphs

A: abelian group

A-flow on a (bridgeless) graph $\Gamma = (V, E)$: mapping $x : E \to A$ such that for every node $v \in V$

$$\sum_{h(e)=v} x(e) = \sum_{t(e)=v} x(e)$$

 $\begin{array}{lll} h(e) & := & \mathsf{head} \\ t(e) & := & \mathsf{tail} \end{array} \text{ of the edge } e \text{ in a (fixed) orientation of } \Gamma \end{array}$

k-flow : \mathbb{Z} -flow with values in $\{-k+1, -k+2, \dots, k-1\}$

Flow Polynomials

$$\begin{split} \varphi_{\Gamma}^{0}(k) &:= & \#(k\text{-flows on }\Gamma) \\ \varphi_{\Gamma}(k) &:= & \#(\text{nowhere-zero }k\text{-flows on }\Gamma) \\ \overline{\varphi}_{\Gamma}(|A|) &:= & \#(\text{nowhere-zero }A\text{-flows on }\Gamma) \end{split}$$

Theorem

(Tutte 1954) $\overline{\varphi}_{\Gamma}(|A|)$ is a polynomial in |A|.

(Folklore) $\varphi_{\Gamma}^{0}(k)$ is a polynomial in k.

(Kochol 2002) $\varphi_{\Gamma}(k)$ is a polynomial in k.

Flow Polynomials

$$\begin{split} \varphi_{\Gamma}^{0}(k) &:= & \#(k\text{-flows on }\Gamma) \\ \varphi_{\Gamma}(k) &:= & \#(\text{nowhere-zero }k\text{-flows on }\Gamma) \\ \overline{\varphi}_{\Gamma}(|A|) &:= & \#(\text{nowhere-zero }A\text{-flows on }\Gamma) \end{split}$$

Theorem

(Tutte 1954) $\overline{\varphi}_{\Gamma}(|A|)$ is a polynomial in |A|.

(Folklore) $\varphi_{\Gamma}^{0}(k)$ is a polynomial in k.

(Kochol 2002) $\varphi_{\Gamma}(k)$ is a polynomial in k.

Remarks: $\overline{\varphi}_{\Gamma}(k) > 0$ if and only if $\varphi_{\Gamma}(k) > 0$. For a plane graph, $\overline{\varphi}_{\Gamma}(k) = \chi_{\Gamma^*}(k) := \#$ (proper k-colorings of Γ^*).

Enter Geometry

Let $C \subseteq \mathbb{R}^E$ denote the real cycle space of Γ defined by the equations

$$\sum_{h(e)=v} x(e) = \sum_{t(e)=v} x(e) ,$$

and let $\Box = (-1, 1)^E$. Then a *k*-flow on Γ is a point in $\Box \cap C \cap \frac{1}{k} \mathbb{Z}^E$, that is, a *k*-fractional lattice point in the polytope $\Box \cap C$.

Enter Geometry

Let $C \subseteq \mathbb{R}^E$ denote the real cycle space of Γ defined by the equations

$$\sum_{h(e)=v} x(e) = \sum_{t(e)=v} x(e) ,$$

and let $\Box = (-1, 1)^E$. Then a *k*-flow on Γ is a point in $\Box \cap C \cap \frac{1}{k} \mathbb{Z}^E$, that is, a *k*-fractional lattice point in the polytope $\Box \cap C$.

Moreover, if we let \mathcal{H} denote the set of coordinate hyperplanes in \mathbb{R}^E , then a nowhere-zero k-flow on Γ is a k-fractional lattice point in

 $(\Box \cap C) \setminus \bigcup \mathcal{H} ,$

an instance of an inside-out polytope.







 $\phi_{2K_2}^0(k) = 2k - 1$



 $\phi_{2K_2}(k) = 2k - 2$

Ehrhart Polynomials

 $\mathcal{P} \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ – convex integral polytope

For $t \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ let $\operatorname{Ehr}_{\mathcal{P}}(t) := \# \left(\mathcal{P} \cap \frac{1}{t} \mathbb{Z}^d \right)$

Ehrhart Polynomials

 $\mathcal{P} \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ – convex integral polytope

For $t \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ let $\operatorname{Ehr}_{\mathcal{P}}(t) := \# \left(\mathcal{P} \cap \frac{1}{t} \mathbb{Z}^d \right)$

Theorem

(Ehrhart 1962) $\operatorname{Ehr}_{\mathcal{P}}(t)$ is a polynomial in t of degree $\dim \mathcal{P}$ with leading term $\operatorname{vol} \mathcal{P}$ (normalized to $\operatorname{aff} \mathcal{P} \cap \mathbb{Z}^d$). (Macdonald 1971) $(-1)^{\dim \mathcal{P}} \operatorname{Ehr}_{\mathcal{P}}(-t)$ enumerates the interior lattice points $\mathcal{P}^{\circ} \cap \frac{1}{t}\mathbb{Z}^d$.

Ehrhart Polynomials

 $\mathcal{P} \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ – convex integral polytope

For $t \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ let $\operatorname{Ehr}_{\mathcal{P}}(t) := \# \left(\mathcal{P} \cap \frac{1}{t} \mathbb{Z}^d \right)$

Theorem

(Ehrhart 1962) $\operatorname{Ehr}_{\mathcal{P}}(t)$ is a polynomial in t of degree $\dim \mathcal{P}$ with leading term $\operatorname{vol} \mathcal{P}$ (normalized to $\operatorname{aff} \mathcal{P} \cap \mathbb{Z}^d$). (Macdonald 1971) $(-1)^{\dim \mathcal{P}} \operatorname{Ehr}_{\mathcal{P}}(-t)$ enumerates the interior lattice points $\mathcal{P}^{\circ} \cap \frac{1}{t}\mathbb{Z}^d$.

Ehrhart Theory has recently seen a flurry of applications in various areas of mathematics. One class of applications comes from the enumeration of lattice points in a polytope \mathcal{P} but off a hyperplane arrangement \mathcal{H} —an inside-out polytope (\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{H}).

Polynomiality

Theorem

(Folklore) $\varphi_{\Gamma}^{0}(k)$ is a polynomial in k.

(Kochol 2002) $\varphi_{\Gamma}(k)$ is a polynomial in k.

Polynomiality

Theorem

(Folklore) $\varphi_{\Gamma}^{0}(k)$ is a polynomial in k.

(Kochol 2002) $\varphi_{\Gamma}(k)$ is a polynomial in k.

Proof: Recall that $C \subseteq \mathbb{R}^E$ is defined by $\sum_{h(e)=v} x(e) = \sum_{t(e)=v} x(e)$, $\Box = (-1,1)^E$, and \mathcal{H} contains the coordinate hyperplanes in \mathbb{R}^E ; then

$$\varphi_{\Gamma}^{0}(k) = \# \left(\Box \cap C \cap \frac{1}{k} \mathbb{Z}^{E} \right)$$
$$\varphi_{\Gamma}(k) = \# \left(\left(\Box \cap C \setminus \bigcup \mathcal{H} \right) \cap \frac{1}{k} \mathbb{Z}^{E} \right).$$

Polynomiality

Theorem

(Folklore) $\varphi_{\Gamma}^{0}(k)$ is a polynomial in k.

(Kochol 2002) $\varphi_{\Gamma}(k)$ is a polynomial in k.

Proof: Recall that $C \subseteq \mathbb{R}^E$ is defined by $\sum_{h(e)=v} x(e) = \sum_{t(e)=v} x(e)$, $\Box = (-1, 1)^E$, and \mathcal{H} contains the coordinate hyperplanes in \mathbb{R}^E ; then

$$\varphi_{\Gamma}^{0}(k) = \# \left(\Box \cap C \cap \frac{1}{k} \mathbb{Z}^{E} \right)$$
$$\varphi_{\Gamma}(k) = \# \left(\left(\Box \cap C \setminus \bigcup \mathcal{H} \right) \cap \frac{1}{k} \mathbb{Z}^{E} \right).$$

The matrix defining the cycle space C is totally unimodular, and hence $\Box \cap C$ is an integral polytope. For the same reason, any of the connected components of $\Box \cap C \setminus \bigcup \mathcal{H}$ is an integral polytope.

Totally Cyclic Orientations

An orientation of Γ is totally cyclic if every edge lies in a coherent circle, that is, where the edges are oriented in a consistent direction around the circle. A totally cyclic orientation τ and a flow x are compatible if $x \ge 0$ when it is expressed in terms of τ .

Totally Cyclic Orientations

An orientation of Γ is totally cyclic if every edge lies in a coherent circle, that is, where the edges are oriented in a consistent direction around the circle. A totally cyclic orientation τ and a flow x are compatible if $x \ge 0$ when it is expressed in terms of τ .

Recall $\varphi_{\Gamma}(k) := \#$ (nowhere-zero k-flows)

Theorem (B–Z) $|\varphi_{\Gamma}(-k)|$ equals the number of pairs (τ, x) consisting of a totally cyclic orientation τ and a compatible (k + 1)-flow x. In particular, $|\varphi_{\Gamma}(0)|$ counts the totally cyclic orientations of Γ .

Totally Cyclic Orientations

An orientation of Γ is totally cyclic if every edge lies in a coherent circle, that is, where the edges are oriented in a consistent direction around the circle. A totally cyclic orientation τ and a flow x are compatible if $x \ge 0$ when it is expressed in terms of τ .

Recall $\varphi_{\Gamma}(k) := \#$ (nowhere-zero k-flows)

Theorem (B–Z) $|\varphi_{\Gamma}(-k)|$ equals the number of pairs (τ, x) consisting of a totally cyclic orientation τ and a compatible (k + 1)-flow x. In particular, $|\varphi_{\Gamma}(0)|$ counts the totally cyclic orientations of Γ .



A (Classic) Dual Theorem

 $\Gamma = (V, E) - (loopless)$ graph

k-coloring of Γ : mapping $x: V \to \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$ Proper *k*-coloring of Γ : *k*-coloring such that $x_i \neq x_j$ if there is an edge ij

A (Classic) Dual Theorem

 $\Gamma = (V, E)$ – (loopless) graph

k-coloring of Γ : mapping $x: V \to \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$ Proper *k*-coloring of Γ : *k*-coloring such that $x_i \neq x_j$ if there is an edge ij

Theorem (Birkhoff 1912, Whitney 1932) $\chi_{\Gamma}(k) := \# (\text{proper } k\text{-colorings of } \Gamma) \text{ is a polynomial in } k.$

A (Classic) Dual Theorem

 $\Gamma = (V, E)$ – (loopless) graph

k-coloring of Γ : mapping $x: V \to \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$ Proper *k*-coloring of Γ : *k*-coloring such that $x_i \neq x_j$ if there is an edge ij

Theorem (Birkhoff 1912, Whitney 1932) $\chi_{\Gamma}(k) := \# (\text{proper } k\text{-colorings of } \Gamma) \text{ is a polynomial in } k.$

An orientation α of Γ and a k-coloring x are compatible if $x_j \ge x_i$ whenever there is an edge oriented from i to j. An orientation is acyclic if it has no directed cycles.

Theorem (Stanley 1973) $|\chi_{\Gamma}(-k)|$ equals the number of pairs (α, x) consisting of an acyclic orientation α of Γ and a compatible *k*-coloring. In particular, $|\chi_{\Gamma}(-1)|$ counts the acyclic orientations of Γ .

The Geometry Behind Totally Cyclic Orientations

Theorem (B–Z) $|\varphi_{\Gamma}(-k)|$ equals the number of pairs (τ, x) consisting of a totally cyclic orientation τ and a compatible (k + 1)-flow x. In particular, $|\varphi_{\Gamma}(0)|$ counts the totally cyclic orientations of Γ .

Idea of proof: Recall that

$$\varphi_{\Gamma}(k) = \#\left(\left(\Box \cap C \setminus \bigcup \mathcal{H}\right) \cap \frac{1}{k}\mathbb{Z}^{E}\right)$$

The Geometry Behind Totally Cyclic Orientations

Theorem (B–Z) $|\varphi_{\Gamma}(-k)|$ equals the number of pairs (τ, x) consisting of a totally cyclic orientation τ and a compatible (k + 1)-flow x. In particular, $|\varphi_{\Gamma}(0)|$ counts the totally cyclic orientations of Γ .

Idea of proof: Recall that

$$\varphi_{\Gamma}(k) = \#\left(\left(\Box \cap C \setminus \bigcup \mathcal{H}\right) \cap \frac{1}{k}\mathbb{Z}^{E}\right)$$

(1) Use Ehrhart–Macdonald Reciprocity for the connected components of $\Box \cap C \setminus \bigcup \mathcal{H}$

(2) Realize that each orthant of \mathbb{R}^E corresponds to a totally cyclic orientation of Γ (Greene–Zaslavsky)

Find a formula for, or a combinatorial interpretation of, the leading coefficient of φ_{Γ} .

Find a formula for, or a combinatorial interpretation of, the leading coefficient of φ_{Γ} .

Is there a combinatorial interpretation of $\overline{\varphi}_{\Gamma}(-k)$ for $k \geq 2$?

Find a formula for, or a combinatorial interpretation of, the leading coefficient of φ_{Γ} .

Is there a combinatorial interpretation of $\overline{\varphi}_{\Gamma}(-k)$ for $k \geq 2$?

For some graphs, both φ_{Γ} and $\overline{\varphi}_{\Gamma}$ have integral coefficients and φ_{Γ} is a multiple of $\overline{\varphi}_{\Gamma}$. Is there a general reason for these facts?

Find a formula for, or a combinatorial interpretation of, the leading coefficient of φ_{Γ} .

Is there a combinatorial interpretation of $\overline{\varphi}_{\Gamma}(-k)$ for $k \geq 2$?

For some graphs, both φ_{Γ} and $\overline{\varphi}_{\Gamma}$ have integral coefficients and φ_{Γ} is a multiple of $\overline{\varphi}_{\Gamma}$. Is there a general reason for these facts?

Prove that for any bridgeless graph $\varphi_{\Gamma}(k) > 0$ for all $k \geq 5$.

Graph coloring a la Ehrhart



Graph coloring a la Ehrhart



Stanley's Theorem a la Ehrhart



Use Ehrhart–Macdonald Reciprocity on the connected components of $(0,1)^V \setminus \bigcup \mathcal{H}(\Gamma)$

Stanley's Theorem a la Ehrhart



Use Ehrhart–Macdonald Reciprocity on the connected components of $(0,1)^V \setminus \bigcup \mathcal{H}(\Gamma)$

Greene's observation

region of $\mathcal{H}(\Gamma) \iff$ acyclic orientation of Γ $x_i < x_j \iff i \longrightarrow j$